

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/828,853	LIN, MING-HSIEN	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Gary K Graham	1744	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Gary K Graham.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Keith Kline (32,737).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 January 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

None

**Claims discussed:**

1-4

**Prior art documents discussed:**


Hoffman, Lin, Libman et al

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

The Examiner discussed closest art and indicated that limitations of claim 2, as well as defining that the transverse bars have a rectangular transverse cross-section, if put into claim 1 would be allowable. Applicant agrees. See Examiner's amendment.

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)